

# VBDR

## Veterans' Advisory Board on Dose Reconstruction



**Chairman:** Charles H. Roadman, II, M.D., Lt. Gen. USAF (Ret.) **Program Administrator:** Kyle Millage, CHP, PE **AA:** Beki Gangi  
801 N. Quincy St., Suite 600, Arlington, VA 22203 Voice: (866) 657-VBDR (8237) Fax: (703) 816-8861 <http://vbdr.org>

### Advisory Board

May 18, 2010

Harold L. Beck  
New York, NY

Paul K. Blake, Ph.D., CHP  
CAPT, MSC, USN (Ret.)  
Fort Belvoir, VA

John D. Boice, Jr., Sc.D.  
CAPT, USPHS (Ret.)  
Rockville, MD

Patricia A. Fleming, Ph.D  
St. Mary's College.  
South Bend, IN

Brad Flohr  
Department of Veterans Affairs  
Washington, DC

Kenneth L. Groves  
CDR, MSC, USN (Ret.)  
Albuquerque, NM

John Lathrop, Ph.D.  
Innovative Decision, Inc.s  
Livermore, CA

David E. McCurdy, Ph.D.  
Northboro, MA

Curt W. Reimann, Ph.D.  
Gaithersburg, MD

Rudolph J. Ritter  
Former NCO, USN  
Houston, TX

Kristin Swenson, Ph.D., ABR-D, T  
Lt. Col., USAF (Ret)  
Fairfax Station, VA

Paul G. Voillequé, CHP  
Denver, CO

Gary H. Zeman, Sc.D., CHP  
CDR, MSC, USN (Ret.)  
Argonne, IL

Mr. Michael Walcoff.  
Acting Under Secretary for Benefits (20)  
Department of Veterans Affairs  
810 Vermont Avenue N.W.  
Washington, DC 20420

Dear Mr. Walcoff:

The Veterans' Advisory Board on Dose Reconstruction met in Arlington, VA on March 11, 2011. At that meeting, the Board approved a number of recommendations that, if implemented, should help improve the VA compensation program for Atomic Veterans. These recommendations are based on analysis of audits and reviews of NTPR radiation dose assessments and VA claim procedures, as well as information and testimony presented at VBDR meetings. In addition, the Board would also like to acknowledge the dedication and enthusiasm of the VA staff supporting the Atomic Veterans, particularly the staff at the Jackson VARO.

I have enclosed the recommendations that have been approved by the Board at the Arlington meeting. Please contact me if you require any additional information concerning these recommendations.

Very respectfully,

//S//

Charles H. Roadman, II, M.D.  
Lt. Gen., USAF, (Ret.)  
VBDR Chairman

Enclosure: Formal VBDR recommendations

Cc: Brad Flohr

## **VETERANS' ADVISORY BOARD ON DOSE RECONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS FROM MARCH 11, 2011 PLENARY MEETING**

On the basis of its audits and assessments of Nuclear Test Personnel Review (NTPR) Program radiation dose assessments (RDAs), Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) claim procedures, and other meetings and interactions with both DTRA and VA, the Veterans' Advisory Board on Dose Reconstruction (VBDR) offered a number of recommendations at the March 11, 2011 meeting held in Arlington, Virginia. The Board believes that these recommendations, if implemented, would improve the VA compensation program for Atomic Veterans.

### **For the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA):**

The VBDR had no specific recommendations for DTRA.

### **For the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA):**

First, the VBDR continues to recognize the positive improvements that have taken place at the Jackson Veterans Affairs Regional Office (VARO).

**Recommendation 1:** We recommend the VA update the current Fast Letter 06-20 (October 16, 2006) and provide guidance to clearly indicate radiation claims are to be expedited to Jackson VARO with minimum, specific claim development (diagnosis of the disease and the consolidation letter sent to the veteran). The Fast Letter 06-20 is outdated and the Veteran Service Center Manager training is not as effective as it could be. A point of contact, email address and phone number for a Jackson VARO radiation team member should be clearly listed in this updated Fast Letter. Additionally, an individual at each VARO should be identified as the radiation claim files specialist.

**Recommendation 2:** We recommend effective participation by the VHA in the claims process and an appropriate representative should be available at all VBDR meetings.

**Recommendation 3:** We recommend a Tier 3 ad hoc quality review of the radiation claims process be completed at the end of calendar year 2011. The review should include an assessment of the timeliness of the entire process and should identify any unnecessary delays from initial receipt of claim at original VARO to the end product notification to veteran.

**Recommendation 4:** We recommend that VHA resolve the issue of completing medical examinations. We suggest providing Jackson Regional Office with a listing of VHA medical facilities that are staffed to conduct the specific examinations required and can provide medical opinions in radiation-related claims, and ensuring that if qualified staff is not available at any particular facility, the requestor is notified that the service can be done on a fee basis.